

Catalog of Insects in Human Diets in Southern Campeche, Mexico¹

Maximiliano Vanoye-Eligio², Paula Viveros Moreno,
Blanca del Rosario Martín Canché, María del Carmen Gómez Camal,
Félix Alejandra Luna Medina, and Ludwin Jaime Estrada Ramírez

Tecnológico Nacional de México/ITS de Escárcega, Escárcega, Campeche, Mexico

J. Entomol. Sci. 59(3): 234–246 (July 2024)

DOI: 10.18474/JES23-45

Abstract In Mexico, a large number of insects are used by indigenous groups for food, medicine, and the arts. The objective of this research was to review and identify edible insects in communities in the municipality of Escárcega, state of Campeche, Mexico. We conducted a literature review and interviewed residents of 12 communities in Escárcega. The interview consisted of questions about insects that they or others may have consumed, the location of collection of those insects, the times of the year when the insects appear, the methods of capture, and the ways that they were used. We determined that 25 insect species are consumed by humans in the 12 communities studied. In the communities of Constitución and Ej. Km. 74, *Brachygastra mellifica* Say (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) larvae are consumed year-round. Our results serve as a foundation for future research on the use and production of insects for food in rural and indigenous communities in southern Campeche and represent an initial approach to acquiring knowledge for the use of insects as a food source in Campeche to guarantee food security in such communities.

Key Words edible insects, *Brachygastra mellifica*, Campeche, Mexico

The various cultures that have emerged through the ages have related to their natural environment in unique ways and have developed ways of taking advantage of the natural resources that surround them. This knowledge acquired over time is usually studied both by traditional disciplines and by ethnoscience, in particular ethnobiology (Posey 1987; Toledo 1990, 1991; Challenger 1998; Albuquerque 1999). The use of natural resources by human groups has allowed the accumulation of knowledge about the biology of species and local ecological processes (Olsson et al. 2004). Making use of species of interest, whether for medical or nutritional purposes, among others, requires prior knowledge, such as knowing the biology, ecology, phenology, and even toxicity of the species that are used. Toledo (2002) mentions that the relationships between nature and culture have been the object of study in various disciplines of the natural and social sciences, leading to various avenues being created in attempts to study these relationships. Different investigations agree that various subdisciplines have been generated

¹Received 21 July 2023; accepted for publication 2 September 2023.

²Corresponding author (email: maxvanoye@itsescarcega.edu.mx).

from ethnobiology. Such is the case of ethnoentomology, the study of the relationship of insects with and their use by humans (Gabdin 1973, Posey 1987, Costa-Neto 2002, González-Rivadeneira 2023). Ethnoentomological studies began with Wyman and Bailey (1952), who investigated the relationship of insects with the Navajo and proposed the use of the term ethnoentomology. Based on their research, numerous investigations have subsequently been conducted with different approaches because insects, in addition to being a great source of food and medicine, are also important in the cultural activities of communities, their symbolism that they have for some towns, and the dissemination and sharing of that knowledge (Aldasoro and Argueta 2013). There are many insect species that are beneficial to humans, whereas others are harmful; thus, as a group, insects receive considerable attention (Jiménez Martínez 2009, Loiacono et al. 2012, Margaría et al. 2018). The use of insects for food has been reported worldwide, especially in Asia, Africa, and the Americas. Approximately 2,000 species are used for food (Cartay 2018), with consumption largely focused on some 30 species (Cerda et al. 1999). Ramos-Elorduy and Viejo (2007) comment that insects are commonly consumed in 102 countries; Morris et al. (1991) agreed, noting that insects are the numerically dominant animal group globally. Costa-Neto (2002) observed that insects are present in practically all terrestrial habitats on the planet, where they have always played a significant role in human cultures, presenting an almost infinite variety of colors, shapes, sizes, ways of life, and sound production. They are sometimes even associated with divinities. On the North and South American continents, 699 species of edible insects have been reported (Ramos-Elorduy and Viejo 2007), whereas other authors mention approximately 800 terrestrial and aquatic insects (Blanco et al. 2020). In Mexico, the number of edible insect species is 504 (Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2001a, 2004; Elorduy et al. 2006; Neto and Ramos-Elorduy 2006; Ramos-Elorduy and Viejo 2007; SADER 2016); however, Garcia et al. (2019) reported 104 species. The consumption of insects (entomophagy) in Mexico is considered a viable option in the food sector because different investigations address the issue from a biogeographic approach, species richness worldwide, sustainability, consumption in rural areas, and nutritional value. Insects are a good source of protein and an excellent food alternative for humans (Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2001b, Moreno et al. 2006, Ambrosio-Arzate et al. 2010, Juárez Ortega et al. 2012, Cruz and Peniche 2018, Hernández-Ramírez et al. 2020, Durán-Galdo and Saavedra-García 2022).

Many studies have focused on the use of insects as food for humans. Ramos-Elorduy et al. (2009) compared the knowledge and traditional use of bee species in the Sierra de Zongolica, Mexico, and in the Sierra de Jibóia, Brazil. In the Mexican locality, cultures of *Apis mellifera mellifera* L. were observed, and there was almost no production of stingless species. Protocultures of wasps, stingless bees, and larvae of two lepidopteran species also were reported, with some of these species being sold in the municipal market. In the Brazilian locale, six species of stingless bees that are cultivated for food were identified, whereas *A. mellifera* is not cultivated. Likewise, Ramos-Elorduy et al. (2009) found that the procedure for cultivating bees with and without sting is the same in both locales and that the production of bees with and without sting impacts peoples' diet.

Studies related to the use of insects have also been conducted in the Yucatan Peninsula where special attention has been on the economic resources for families that market *Zopherus chilensis* Gray (Coleoptera: Zopheridae; locally known as ma'kech), used mainly as live handicrafts and it is sold in tourist places in the state of Yucatán (Domínguez 2011). In this region of Mexico, it is not the only species that is used for commercial purposes. Stingless bees are managed ancestrally and similarly to the cultivation of *A. mellifera*, and they are considered to be part of the Mayan culture in the Yucatan Peninsula (Euán 2005). Furthermore, Ayala (1999) reports 46 species of stingless bees in Yucatan, with some species having outstanding honey production: *Melipona beecheii* Bennett in the Yucatan Peninsula, *Scaptotrigona mexicana* Guérin-Méneville in the Gulf Coast and Central Mexico, and *Scaptotrigona hellwegeri* (Friese) in Guerrero; they also are excellent pollinators of various agricultural crops (Cortopassi-Laurino et al. 2006).

Different species of edible insects have been reported from the states in Mexico, with Mexico having the highest record of edible insects (160 species) followed by Chiapas (155) and Hidalgo (145; Ramos-Elorduy 2009). In the Yucatán Peninsula, Yucatán has 8 species (Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2004) and Campeche has 25 species (Ramos-Elorduy 2009). Our objective with this investigation was to identify the edible insects in communities of the municipality of Escárcega, state of Campeche, Mexico, by conducting a review of literature and interviewing residents of 12 communities in the municipality.

Materials and Methods

The municipal territory of Escárcega is divided into 2 municipal boards, 6 municipal police stations, 38 municipal agencies (including ranches), and 27 registered neighborhoods (including those of recent creation). The study consisted of two phases: field and laboratory. The field phase was carried out with the support of second-semester bachelor's degree in tourism students at the Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Escárcega, where >50% of the student community are from rural communities in the municipality. Edible insects in communities of Escárcega were tracked, collected, and identified. This phase included talking with people from the main communities (Altamira de Zináparo, Centenario, División del Norte, Don Samuel, Escárcega, Haro, Justicia Social, La Libertad, La Victoria, Luna, Matamoros, and Silvituc). The interview, the instrument for data collection, was an open design and semistructured with general questions about insect consumption and specific questions for about the species consumed (if applicable). The interview was carried out by asking residents (both sexes, 12–70 years old) of a community what insects they consumed in their locality or whether they knew someone who consumed them. For insects consumed in the community, they were asked to show the place where the insects were collected; the time(s) in which these insects appeared and were found in abundance; and the ways of capture, use, preservation, and conservation. Insects were collected with entomological nets and placed in labeled bottles containing 70% alcohol.



Fig. 1. Nest of *Brachygastra mellifica* in the community of Constitución, Escárcega, Campeche, Mexico.

The laboratory phase consisted of taking the material preserved in alcohol to the laboratory of the Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Escárcega. There, the samples were sorted and identified to taxonomic levels by using dichotomous keys.

A review of the literature on edible insects in Mexico was also conducted. This review included scientifically based books, documents, and publications in the Journal Citation Reports, Latindex, and Scielo. The review also included reports of local studies in Mexico and databases available worldwide. The review included only records at the genus level for the country. Any omission from the relevant literature was unintentional.

Results and Discussion

From the interviews with residents of the different communities, we learned that in two communities—Constitución, belonging to the H. Junta de Centenario, and Ejido. Km 74, belonging to the H. Ayuntamiento de Escárcega—the residents consume larvae of a wasp known locally as Ek, *Brachygastra mellifica* Say (Hymenoptera: Vespidae; Fig.1), with several published common names (Ramos and Pino 2001, Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2001a, Ramos-Elorduy et al. 2002, Ramos-Elorduy 2004, Acuña et al. 2011, Rivas-García et al. 2017). In the remaining communities, we were unable to identify the consumption of insects from the interviews. Some residents of those communities responded that they consume local fauna, mainly species of mammals and birds. The residents of the communities where the consumption of insects was reported stated that once a nest is identified, it is necessary to tie a rope to the branch on which the nest is attached to shake it and thus ensure

Table 1. Taxonomic orders, families, and genera of edible insects reported from Mexico, based upon a review of literature.

Order	No. of Families	No. of Genera
Anoplura	1	1
Blattodea	1	8
Coleoptera	21	70
Diptera	5	8
Ephemeroptera	3	3
Hemiptera	8	34
Homoptera	3	14
Hymenoptera	5	31
Isopota	1	1
Lepidoptera	16	46
Megaloptera	1	1
Odonata	4	5
Orthoptera	39	39
Trichoptera	3	3

that the adult wasps exit the nest. Subsequently, the branch on which the nest is attached is cut and transported to the home, where the nest is removed from the branch and cut into pieces. The nest pieces are placed on a griddle over low heat for 10 min and when the pieces are shaken, the larvae emerge. The larvae are placed on the same griddle and roasted and served with a dish of choice. The residents interviewed added that these nests and the larvae are available year-round.

According to the literature review, 504 species of edible insects are reported in Mexico (Tables 1, 2), representing 14 taxonomic orders, 111 families, and 264 genera (Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2001a, 2004; Elorduy et al. 2006; Neto and Ramos-Elorduy 2006; Ramos-Elorduy and Viejo 2007; SADER 2016). In Campeche, 25 species of edible insects prepared in different ways have been reported previously (Ramos-Elorduy 2009); however, the scientific names of these species were not reported in that study, with only common names listed. Grasshoppers, locusts, honeydew ants, brown bees, sorrel bees, black wasps, striped or juniper wasps, and guachichil are consumed in Campeche, but their taxonomic identity was not reported (Soto 1993, Viesca González and Romero Contreras 2009, GM 2016, SADER 2016, Alcides González 2023). Others cite *B. mellifica* as being consumed as an edible insect in Campeche (Ramos-Elorduy and Pino 2001a, Ramos-Elorduy 2004, Rivas-García et al. 2017), which corroborates the results of our investigation (Fig. 1). According to the literature review, *B. mellifica* has been reported as an edible insect in the states of Campeche, Chiapas, Hidalgo, Puebla, and Yucatán.

Table 2. List of taxonomic orders, families, and genera of edible insects in Mexico, based upon a review of literature.

Order	Family	Genus
Anoplura	Pediculidae	<i>Pediculus</i>
Blattodea	Blattidae	<i>Blabera, Blaberus, Blattaria, Chorisoneura, Epilampa, Paratropa, Periplaneta, Pseudomops</i>
Coleoptera	Buprestidae	<i>Chalcophora, Euchroma</i>
	Carabidae	<i>Cicindela</i>
	Cerambycidae	<i>Acrocinus, Aplagiognathus, Arhopalus, Callipogon, Cerambyx, Cisa, Derobrachus, Eburia, Lagocheirus, Megacyllene, Ornithia, Polyrhaphis, Prosopocera, Stenodontes, Trichoderes, Aplagiognathus</i>
	Dryophthoridae	<i>Blepharida, Chrysomelidae, Lactica, Leptinotarsa, Metamasius, Rhyncophorus, Scyphophorus</i>
	Dytiscidae	<i>Cybister, Dytiscus, Laccophilus, Megadytes, Rhantus, Thermonectes</i>
	Elateridae	<i>Chalcolepidius, Pyrophorus</i>
	Erotylidae	<i>Dichomorpha</i>
	Gyrinidae	<i>Gyrinus</i>
	Haliplidae	<i>Haliplus, Peltodytes</i>
	Histeridae	<i>Hololepta</i>
	Hydrophilidae	<i>Berossus, Dibolocelus, Tropisternus</i>
	Lucanidae	<i>Lucanus</i>
	Meloidae	<i>Meloe</i>
	Melolonthidae	<i>Dynastes, Geotrupes, Goliathus, Isonychus, Macrodactylus, Megasoma, Melolontha</i>
	Notoridae	<i>Suphisellus</i>
	Passalidae	<i>Heliscus, Oileus, Passalus, Paxillus, Popilius, Verres</i>
	Rutelinidae	<i>Chrysina</i>
	Scarabaeidae	<i>Cyclocephala, Enema, Phyllophaga, Strataegus, Xylorictes</i>

Table 2. Continued.

Order	Family	Genus
Diptera	Staphylinidae	<i>Oxytelus</i>
	Tenebrionidae	<i>Eleodes, Tenebrio, Zophobas</i>
	Zopheridae	<i>Zopherus</i>
	Calliphoridae	<i>Macellaria</i>
	Ephydriidae	<i>Ephydria, Eristalis, Gymnopa</i>
	Muscidae	<i>Musca</i>
Ephemeroptera	Stratiomidae	<i>Hermetia</i>
	Syrphidae	<i>Campylostoma, Copestylum</i>
	Baetidae	<i>Baetis</i>
	Ephemeridae	<i>Ephemera</i>
	Leptophlebiidae	<i>Thraulodes</i>
Hemiptera	Belostomatidae	<i>Abedus, Belostoma, Lethocerus</i>
	Coreidae	<i>Acanthocephala, Anasa, Colaphoserus, Mamurius, Pentascelis, Piezogaster, Sephina, Thasus</i>
	Corixidae	<i>Buenoa, Corisella, Graptocorixa, Hesperocorixa, Krizousacorixa, Trichocorixa</i>
	Lygaeidae	<i>Neacoryphus</i>
	Notonectidae	<i>Notonecta</i>
	Pentatomidae	<i>Acheta, Banasa, Brachymona, Chlorocoris, Edessa, Euschistus, Monomorpha, Mormidea, Nezara, Oebalus, Padaeus, Pharypia, Proxis</i>
	Pyrrhocoridae	<i>Dysdircus</i>
	Rhopalidae	<i>Jadera</i>
Homoptera	Cicadidae	<i>Cicada, Dactylopiinae, Dactylopius, Dundubia, Fidicinoides, Odopoea, Proarna, Tibicen, Tympanotermes</i>
	Fulgoridae	<i>Fulgora</i>
	Membracidae	<i>Aethalion, Anthiante, Hoplophorion, Umbonia</i>
	Apidae	<i>Apis, Bombus, Cephalotrigona, Lestrimelitta, Melipona, Nannotrigona,</i>

Table 2. Continued.

Order	Family	Genus
		<i>Partamona, Plebeia, Scaptotrigona,</i> <i>Trigona, Trigonisca</i>
	Diprionidae	<i>Neodiprion, Zadiprion</i>
	Formicidae	<i>Acromyrmex, Atta, Azteca, Camponotus,</i> <i>Dolichoderus, Eciton, Liometopum,</i> <i>Pogonomyrmex, Tapinoma</i>
	Sphecidae	<i>Ammophila</i>
	Vespidae	<i>Apoica, Brachygastra, Epipona, Eulema,</i> <i>Mischocyttarus, Parachartergus, Polistes,</i> <i>Polybia, Sinoeca, Vespa</i>
Isoptera	Termitidae	<i>Microtermes</i>
Lepidoptera	Agaristidae	<i>Gerra</i>
	Arctiidae	<i>Amastus, Elysius, Estigmene, Pelochyta,</i> <i>Pseudodirphia</i>
	Bombycidae	<i>Bombyx</i>
	Castniidae	<i>Castnía, Cossidae, Xyleutes</i>
	Cossidae	<i>Comadia</i>
	Danaidae	<i>Danaus</i>
	Geometridae	<i>Synopsia</i>
	Hepialidae	<i>Phassus, Schausiana</i>
	Megathymidae	<i>Aegiale</i>
	Noctuidae	<i>Ascalapha, Guerrea, Helicoverpa,</i> <i>Latebraria, Spodoptera, Thysania</i>
	Nymphalidae	<i>Cynthia, Vanessa</i>
	Papilionidae	<i>Catasticta, Eucheira, Laniifera, Papilio,</i> <i>Photographium</i>
	Pieridae	<i>Phoebis</i>
	Pyralidae	<i>Laniifera</i>
	Saturniidae	<i>Actias, Antheraea, Arsenura, Eacles,</i> <i>Hemileuca, Hylesia, Paradirphia</i>
	Satyridae	<i>Pareupterychia</i>
	Sphingidae	<i>Clanis, Cocytius, Manduca</i>
Megaloptera	Corydalidae	<i>Corydalus</i>
Odonata	Aeschnidae	<i>Aeschna, Anax</i>

Table 2. Continued.

Order	Family	Genus
Orthoptera	Coenagrionidae	<i>Enallagma, Ischnura</i>
	Libellulidae	<i>Eritrodiplax</i>
	Acrididae	<i>Aidemona, Arphia, Boopedon, Chromacris, Encoptolophus, Homocoriphus, Locusta, Melanoplus, Ochetotettix, Opeia, Orphula, Orphulella, Osmilia, Pedies, Plectrottetia, Rhammatocerathus, Romalea, Schistocerca, Spharagemon, Sphenarium, Syrbula, Taeniopoda, Trimerotropis, Tropinotus, Xanthiphus</i>
	Blattidae	<i>Blaberus</i>
	Gryllidae	<i>Achaeta, Gryllus</i>
	Phasmidae	<i>Bacteria</i>
Trichoptera	Stenopelmatidae	<i>Stenopelmatus</i>
	Tettigonidae	<i>Conocephalus, Liparoscelis, Microcentrum, Neoconocephalus, Petaloptera, Pyrgocorypha, Scudderia, Stilphnnclora</i>
	Hidropsychiidae	<i>Leptonema</i>
	Leptoceridae	<i>Oecetis</i>
	Rhyacophilidae	<i>Atopsyche</i>

Sources: Ramos-Elorduy and Pino (2001, 2002, 2004), Ramos-Elorduy et al. (2008), Esperza-Frausto et al. (2008), Acuña et al. (2011), Sánchez-Salinas et al. (2010), Román et al. (2011), Rostro et al. (2012), Gómez-Utrilla et al. (2012), Juárez Ortega et al. (2012), de Luna-Valadéz et al. (2013), Ayala and Rocha (2015), de la Cruz et al. (2015), Sosa Marcos et al. (2015), Rivas-García et al. (2017), Hernández-Ramírez et al. (2020).

Brachygastra mellifica has been reported from 21 states of the Mexican Republic and is one of the most widely distributed insect species in the country (Vanoye-Eligio et al. 2014, 2020). In addition to its use as food in different states of the country, it also is considered as a potential biological control agent in pest management programs (Reyes-Rosas et al. 2011, 2013). Similarly, it is considered an excellent pollinator of important food crops (Castañeda-Vildózola et al. 1999, Pérez-Balam et al. 2012, Carabalí et al. 2021) and can be used for medicinal purposes (Perleberg et al. 2021).

In conclusion, our study aimed to identify edible insects in communities of the municipality of Escárcega, Campeche. We identified *B. mellifica* as a species that is consumed for food in southern Campeche. Our review of the literature identified a diversity of insects that are reportedly consumed in Mexico; at least 25 serve as edible insects in Campeche. Our results demonstrate efforts should be made to

identify the edible insects in Mexico to the taxonomic level of species as well as determine their seasonal occurrence and uses as food. This study represents a foundation for future research associated with the use and production of insects for food in rural and indigenous communities in southern Campeche. It is a first step in assimilating knowledge for the use of insects as a food source and establishing strategies for their use as food aimed at improving food security in communities.

References Cited

- Acuña, A.M., L. Caso, M.M. Aliphat and C.H. Vergara.** 2011. Edible insects as part of the traditional food system of the Popoloca town of Los Reyes Metzontla, Mexico. *J. Ethnobiol.* 31: 150–169.
- Albuquerque, U.** 1999. La importancia de los estudios etnobiológicos para el establecimiento de estrategias de manejo y conservación en las florestas tropicales. *Biotemas* 12: 31–47.
- Alcides González.** 2023. 12 platillos típicos de México con insectos. Tips para tu viaje. 10 May 2023. (<https://tipsparatuviaje.com/platillos-tipicos-de-mexico-con-insectos/>).
- Aldasoro, M.E.M. and V.A Argueta.** 2013. Colecciones ethnoentomológicas comunitarias: Una propuesta conceptual y metodológica. *Etnobiología* 11: 1–15.
- Ambrosio-Arzate, G.A., C.R. Nieto-Hernández, S. Aguilar-Medel and A. Espinoza-Ortega.** 2010. Los insectos comestibles: Un recurso para el desarrollo local en el centro de México 116th EAAE Seminar “Spatial Dynamics in Agri-Food Systems: Implications for Sustainability and Consumer Welfare.” Parma, Italy.
- Ayala, R.** 1999. Revisión de las abejas sin agujón de Mexico (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). *Folia Entomol. Mex.* 106: 1–123.
- Ayala, L.P. and J.E. Rocha.** 2015. Insectos potencialmente comestibles del estado de Aguascalientes, México. *Investig. Cienc.* 23: 19–25.
- Blanco, V.C.P., C.F.G. Chavarro, Y.M.T. Polanco and X.M.C. Ruiz.** 2020. Insectos: Recursos del pasado que podrían ser una solución nutricional para el futuro. *Av. Investig. Agropecu.* 24: 81–100.
- Carabali, D., J. Montoya-Lerma and A. Carabali Muñoz.** 2021. Native bees as putative pollinators of the avocado *Persea americana* Mill. cv. Hass in Colombia. *Intern. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 41: 2915–2925.
- Cartay, R.** 2018. Entre el asombro y el asco: El consumo de insectos en la cuenca amazónica. El caso del *Rhynchophorus palmarum* (Coleoptera Curculionidae). *Rev. Colomb. Antropol.* 54: 143–169.
- Castañeda-Vildózola, A., A. Equihua-Martínez, J. Valdés-Carrasco, A.F. Barrientos-Priego, G. Ish-Am and S. Gazit.** 1999. Insectos polinizadores del aguacatero en los estados de México y Michoacán. *Rev. Chapingo Ser. Hortic.* 5: 129–136.
- Cerda, H., R.N. Martínez, L. Briceño, M.G. Pizzoferrato and Paoletti.** 1999. “Cría, análisis nutricional o sensorial del picudo del cocotero *Rhynchophorus palmarum* (Coleóptera: Curculionidae), insecto de la dieta tradicional indígena amazónica.” *Ecotrópicos* 12: 25–32.
- Challenger, A.** 1998. Utilización y conservación de los ecosistemas terrestres de México. Pasado, presente y futuro. México. CONABIO, Instituto de Biología UNAM, Agrupación Sierra Madre. 21 June 2023. (<http://www.conabio.gob.mx/institucion/proyectos/resultados/FichapubE019.pdf>).
- Cortopassi-Laurino, M., V.L. Imperatriz-Fonseca, D.W. Roubik, A. Dollin, T. Heard, I. Aguilar, G.C. Venturieri, C. Eardley and P. Nogueira-Neto.** 2006. Global meliponiculture: Challenges and opportunities. *Apidologie* 37: 275–292.
- Costa-Neto, M.E.** 2002. Manual de Etnoentomología. Manuales & Tesis SEA, 4, Zaragoza, 104 pp.

- Cruz, P.D. and C. Peniche.** 2018. La domesticación y crianza de insectos comestibles: Una línea de investigación poco explorada y con gran potencial para el desarrollo sostenible y la seguridad alimentaria en México. *Folia Entomol. Mex.* (nueva serie) 4: 66–79.
- de la Cruz, E.L., B. Gómez, M.S.S. Cortés, C. Junghans and L.V.M. Jímenez.** 2015. Insectos útiles entre los tsotsiles del municipio de San Andrés Larráinzar, Chiapas, México. *Etnobiología* 13: 72–84.
- de Luna-Valadez, B., F.J. Macías-Rodríguez, G. Esparza-Frausto, E. León-Esparza, L.A. Tarango-Arámbula and S.D.J. Méndez-Gallegos.** 2013. Recolección de insectos comestibles en Pinos Zacatecas: Descripción y análisis de la actividad. *Agroproductividad* 6: 35–44.
- Domínguez, J.M.** 2011. Experiencias en el manejo del Maquech, *Zopherus chilensis* Gray, 1832 (Coleoptera: Zopheridae) en Yucatán, México. *Arq. Entomolóxicos* 5: 33–38.
- Durán-Galdo, R. and L. Saavedra-García.** 2022. Entomofagia, ¿Una potencial alternativa para la seguridad alimentaria?: Una revisión narrativa. *Rev. Española Nutr. Comunitaria* 28: 14.
- Elorduy, J.R., J.M. Pino and M. Conconi.** 2006. Ausencia de una reglamentación y normalización de la explotación y comercialización de insectos comestibles en México. *Folia Entomol. Mex.* 45: 291–318.
- Esparza-Frausto, G., F.J. Macías-Rodríguez, M. Martínez-Salvador, M.A. Jiménez-Guevara and S.D.J. Méndez-Gallegos.** 2008. Insectos comestibles asociados a las magueyeras en el ejido Tolosa, Pinos, Zacatecas, México. *Agrociencia* 42: 243–252.
- Euán, J.J.G.Q.** 2005. Biología y uso de las abejas sin aguijón de la península de Yucatán, México: Hymenoptera: Meliponini. Ediciones de la Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México.
- Gabdin, C.** 1973. L'Ethnoentomologie. *OPIE Cahiers de Liaison* No. 7: 15–17.
- García, D.M.M., S.S. Simental, M.A. Martínez, J.A. Robledo and A.Z. Bastida.** 2019. Los alimentos de insectos como una alternativa para el cáncer o principal. *Bol. Cienc. Agropecu. ICAP* 5: 15–17.
- GM (Gourmet de México).** 2016. Insectos comestibles en México que son un manjar. 16 January 2023. (<https://gourmetdemexico.com.mx/gourmet/cultura/insectos-comestibles-en-mexico-que-son-un-manjar/>).
- Gómez-Utrilla, J.M., J.M. Pino-Moreno, S. Escamilla-Femat, E. Escamilla-Prado, M. T. Andrade and J. Ramos-Elorduy.** 2012. Uso tradicional de tres especies de insectos comestibles en agroecosistemas cafetaleros del estado de Veracruz. *Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst.* 15: 101–109.
- González-Rivadeneira, T.I.** 2023. El enfoque biocultural' en la etnobiología latinoamericana. *Estud. Hist. Filos. Cienc.* 101: 24–29
- Hernández-Ramírez, J.C., G.B. Avendaño-Rodríguez, T. Enríquez-Almaraz and C.M. Jarquín-Olivera.** 2020. Acceso económico al insecto comestible *Sphenarium purpurascens* en la Sierra Sur de Oaxaca, México. *Rev. Esp. Nutr. Comunitaria* 26: 44–49.
- Jiménez Martínez, E.** 2009. Entomología. Universidad Nacional Agraria, Managua, Nicaragua, 114 pp.
- Juárez Ortega, A.J., J. Ramos Elorduy and J.M. Pino Moreno.** 2012. Insectos comestibles en algunas localidades en la región centro del Estado de México: Técnicas de recolección, venta y preparación. *Dugesiana* 19: 123–134.
- Loiacono, M.S., C.B. Margaría, E.P. Hernández, F.E. Gallardo, D.A. Aquino, V.A. Reche and S. Ricciardi.** 2012. Insectos plaga y sus enemigos naturales: Diversidad, identificación y conservación de insectos benéficos. Universidad Nacional de La Plata. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo Exper. Ext. 1–6.
- Margaría, C., F.E. Gallardo and D. Aquino.** 2018. Insectos benéficos, Pp. 40–52. In R. Elisabet and M.C. Margaría (eds), *Insectos y ambiente: El agrónomo en la secundaria. El rol de los jóvenes en demanda de hortalizas saludables y el cuidado del ambiente*. Universidad Nacional de La Plata. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales. Argentina.

- Moreno, J.M.P., J. Ramos-Elorduy and E.M. Costa-Neto.** 2006. Los insectos comestibles comercializados en los mercados de Cuautitlán de Romero Rubio, Estado de México, México. *Sitientibus Ser. Cienc. Biol.* 6: 58–64.
- Morris, M.G., N.M. Collins, R.I. Vane-Wright and J. Waage.** 1991. The utilization and value of non-domesticated insects, Pp. 319–347. In Collins, N.M. and J.A. Thomas (eds.), *The Conservation of Insects and Their Habitats*. Academic Press Limited, London.
- Neto, E.C. and J. Ramos-Elorduy.** 2006. Los insectos comestibles de Brasil: Etnicidad, diversidad e importancia en la alimentación. *Bol. SEA* 38: 423–442.
- Olsson, P., C. Folke and F. Berkes.** 2004. Adaptive comanagement for building resilience in social-ecological systems. *Environ. Manage.* 34: 75–90.
- Perez-Balam, J., J.J. Quezada-Euán, R. Alfaro-Bates, S. Medina, L. McKendrick, A. Soro and R.J. Paxton.** 2012. The contribution of honey bees, flies and wasps to avocado (*Persea americana*) pollination in southern Mexico. *J. Pollinat. Ecol.* 8: 42–47.
- Perleberg, T.D., R.L. Barbieri, M.P. Mariot, P. Peter, G.C. Gomes, T.D. Silva and R. Magalhães.** 2021. Pollinators and seed dispersers of espinheira-santa (*Monteverdia ilicifolia*-Celastraceae), a Brazilian medicinal plant. *Cien. Nat.* 43: 1–27.
- Posey, D.A.** 1987. Etnobiología: Teoría e práctica. *Suma Etnol. Bras.* 1: 15–25.
- Ramos, E.J. and M.J.M. Pino.** 2001. El consumo de insectos entre los lacandones de la comunidad Bethel y su valor nutritivo. *Etnobiología* 1: 24–43.
- Ramos-Elorduy, B.J.** 2009. ¿Los insectos se comen? Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. *Cienc. Bol.* 9: 1–28.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J. and J.M. Pino.** 2001a. Contribución de la entomofauna silvestre en la alimentación de las etnias de México. IV Congreso Nacional de Etnobiología, Huejutla, Hidalgo. 72 pp.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J. and J.M. Pino.** 2001b. Contenido de vitaminas de algunos insectos comestibles de México. *Rev. Soc. Quim. Mex.* 45: 66–76.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J., J.M. Pino and J. Morales de León.** 2002. Análisis químico proximal, vitaminas y nutrientes inorgánicos de insectos consumidos en el estado de Hidalgo, México. *Folia Entomol. Mex.* 41: 15–29.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J. and M.J.M. Pino.** 2004. Los Coleoptera comestibles de México. *Ann. Inst. Biol. Univ. Nac. Auton. Mexico, Zool.* 75: 149–183.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J. and M.J.L. Viejo.** 2007. Los insectos como alimento humano: Breve ensayo sobre la entomofagia, con especial referencia a México. *Bol. Real Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. Sec. Biol.* 102: 61–84.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J., I. Landero-Torres, J. Murguía-González and J.M. Pino.** 2008. Biodiversidad antropoentomofágica de la región de Zongolica, Veracruz, México. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 56: 303–316.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J., E.M. Costa-Neto and I. Landero-Torres.** 2009. Comparación de especies de abejas comestibles en la Sierra de Jibóia, (Bahía, Brasil) y Sierra de Zongolica (Veracruz, México). *Rev. Colomb. Entomol.* 35: 217–223.
- Reyes-Rosas, M.A., J.I. López-Arroyo, M. Buck and J. Loera-Gallardo.** 2011. First report of a predaceous wasp attacking nymphs of *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae), vector of Hib. Fla. *Entomol.* 94: 1075–1077.
- Reyes-Rosas, M.A., J. Loera-Gallardo, J.I. Lopez-Arroyo and M. Buck.** 2013. *Brachygasstra mellifica* (Hymenoptera: Vespidae): Feeding behavior and preferential predation on *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Liviidae) life stages in Mexico. *Fla. Entomol.* 96: 1588–1594.
- Rivas-García, G., W.D.J.A. Cordero, G.G. Gil, J.T. Garrido, P.M. Saide and M.A. Pinkus-Rendón.** 2017. Estudio etnoentomológico de los insectos aprovechados por los ejidatarios de Dzidzantún, Yucatán, México. *Etnobiología* 15: 67–78.
- Román, G.M., B.Q. Salazar, B.R. Rostro and H.A. Olguín-Arredondo.** 2011. La recolección de insectos con fines alimenticios en la zona turística de Otumba y Teotihuacán, Estado de México. *PASOS Rev. Turismo Patrimonio Cult.* 9: 81–100.
- Rostro, B.R., B.Q. Salazar, J. Ramos-Elorduy, J.M. Moreno, S.C.A. Campos, A.G. Pérez and V.D.B. García.** 2012. Análisis químico y nutricional de tres insectos comestibles de

- interés comercial en la zona arqueológica del municipio de San Juan Teotihuacán y en Otumba, en el estado de México. *Interciencia* 37: 914–920.
- SADER (Secretaría de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural).** 2016. Viscosos pero sabrosos: Insectos comestibles, tradición, sabor y nutrición. 20 February 2023. (<https://www.gob.mx/agricultura/es/articulos/insectos-comestibles-tradicion-sabor-y-nutricion>).
- Sánchez-Salinas, S., R.M. Méndez, E.M. Costa-Neto and L.H. Gómez.** 2010. Consumo de Insectos en la Cabecera Municipal de Simojovel de Allende, Chiapas, México. *Bol. SEA* 46: 575–579.
- Sosa Marcos, Y.S., R.P. Pacheco, G.E.G. Pérez, G.I. Manzanero and G.R.O. Medina.** 2015. Conocimiento tradicional y valor cultural de *Sphenarium* spp. en valles centrales de Oaxaca. *Rev. Mex. Agroecosistemas* 2: 75–86.
- Soto, I.V.** 1993. Flores e insectos en la dieta prehispánica y actual de México. 25 February 2023. (http://www.alberto-peralta.com/e_books/Flores_insectos_dieta_prehispanica.pdf).
- Toledo, V.M.** 1990. La perspectiva etnoecológica. *Ciencias* 4: 22–29.
- Toledo, V.M.** 1991. El juego de la supervivencia. Un manual para la investigación etnoecológica en Latinoamérica. Consorcio Latinoamericano sobre agroecología y Desarrollo (CLADES)/Centro de Ecología, UNAM, México.
- Toledo, V.M.** 2002. Ethnoecology: A conceptual framework for the study of indigenous knowledge of nature, Pp. 511–522. In J.R. Stepp, R.S. Wyndham and R.K. Zarger (eds.), *Ethnobiology and Biocultural Diversity*. Univ. of Georgia Press, Athens.
- Vanoye-Eligio, M., V.M. Ramírez, R. Ayala, J. Navarro and H. Delfín-González.** 2014. Predatory wasps (Hymenoptera) of the Yucatan Peninsula. *Southwest. Entomol.* 39: 635–646.
- Vanoye-Eligio, M., J.V. Horta-Vega, V. Vanoye-Eligio, M. Rosas-Mejía and L. Estrada-Ramírez.** 2020. Review of occurrence of Vespoidea (Hymenoptera) in the state of Campeche, Mexico. *J. Entomol. Sci.* 55: 366–381.
- Viesca González, F.C. and A.T. Romero Contreras.** 2009. La entomofagia en México. Algunos aspectos culturales. *El Periplo Sustentable*. 16: 57–83.
- Wyman, L.C. and F.L. Bailey.** 1952. Native Navaho methods for the control of insect pests. *Plateau* 24: 97–103.