

Injection of Emamectin Benzoate Protects Paper Birch from Birch Leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) for Two Field Seasons¹

Christopher J. Fettig,² Roger E. Burnside,³ and Mark E. Schultz⁴

Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 1731 Research Park Drive, Davis, California 95618 USA

J. Entomol. Sci. 48(2): 166-168 (April 2013)

Key Words Ambermarked birch leafminer, *Betula papyrifera*, insecticides, paper birch, *Profenusa thomsoni*, tree injections

Ambermarked birch leafminer, *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), is an exotic, invasive pest of urban and wildland birch, *Betula* spp., first reported in the eastern United States in the early 1900s. It has since spread throughout the northern United States and Canada (MacQuarrie et al. 2007, Can. Entomol. 139: 545 - 553). *Profenusa thomsoni* was detected in Alaska near Anchorage in the mid to late 1990s. By 2003, >12,800 ha of birch were defoliated in the Anchorage Bowl (Wittwer 2004, U.S. Dept. of Agric. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. R10-TP-123). Aerial detection surveys of the Anchorage Bowl and surrounding areas in 2004 reported the outbreak had expanded to >55,000 ha (Wittwer 2005, U.S. Dept. of Agric. For. Serv. Protect. Rep. R10-PR-3). Since then, an extensive survey conducted by the USDA Forest Service to determine the extent of exotic leaf mining sawflies in Alaska reported *P. thomsoni* to be present in >20% of the area surveyed, with the largest populations in South-central Alaska and portions of Interior Alaska (Snyder et al. 2007, J. For. 105: 113 - 119).

Severe infestations of *P. thomsoni* result in extensive chlorosis of foliage and premature leaf fall, but generally do not result in tree mortality. Repeated infestations can weaken trees, perhaps increasing their susceptibility to other forest pests (Holsten 2003, U.S. Dept. of Agric. For. Serv. For. Insect and Disease Leaflet R10-TP-114). In 2010, we initiated a study in Fairbanks, AK (64.85 °N, 147.73 °W, 92.5 m elevation) to determine the efficacy of emamectin benzoate (TREE-äge®, Arborjet Inc., Woburn, MA) for reducing mining by *P. thomsoni* on paper birch, *Betula papyrifera* Marsh. Fettig et al. (2011, J. Entomol. Sci. 46: 339 - 341) provide a complete description

¹Received 14 November 2012; accepted 26 December 2012.

²Address inquiries (email: cfettig@fs.fed.us).

³Forest Health Protection Program, State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry, 550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1450, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

⁴9170 Parkwood Dr., Juneau, Alaska 99801 (retired).



Fig. 1. Bleeding surrounding an injection port on paper birch the year following treatment, Fairbanks, AK, 2011. The two dark vertical lines are shadows and are not associated with treatment.

of methods. In brief, TREE-äge® (EPA Reg. No. 100 - 1309 - 74,578) was injected undiluted at 1.97 ml/cm in diameter at breast height (dbh, 1.37 m in height) into the tree bole on 7 May 2010 (14°C, sunny) with the QUIK-jet Microinjection system (Arborjet Inc.). Trees <15 cm dbh were treated through 3 injection ports (Arborplugs [#4, 0.95 cm diam.], Arborjet Inc.) placed equidistant around the bole at ~0.75 m in height, and trees ≥15 cm dbh were injected at 4 points. Treatments (treated and untreated) were randomly assigned to 15 trees ($n = 30$). Experimental trees were 18.1 ± 0.9 cm (mean $\pm$ SEM) dbh.

In 2010, injection of TREE-äge® significantly reduced crown damage (percent leaf mining) compared with the untreated control (mean $\pm$ SEM = 1.1 ± 0.3 and $13.1 \pm 2.2\%$, respectively) (Fettig et al. 2011). All experimental trees (treated and untreated) were evaluated again for levels of leaf mining (whole canopy) on 25 July 2011. Visual estimates of the amount of leaf mining were conducted by an independent, experienced observer without knowledge of treatment. A test of normality was performed and angular

(arcsine square root [% leaf mining]) transformations were used when data deviated significantly from a normal distribution. *t*-Tests were performed on the percent leaf mining that occurred using $\alpha = 0.05$ (SigmaStat version 2.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

We observed no external symptoms of phytotoxicity associated with TREE-äge®. However, bleeding occurred around some injection ports (Fig. 1), which might be considered unsightly and therefore undesirable in some environments. This was not observed in 2010. Estimates of the percent leaf mining that occurred on each tree ranged from 0% (11 of 15 TREE-äge®-treated trees) to 12% (untreated control). Whereas populations of *P. thomsoni* declined in 2011 compared with 2010 within the study area, TREE-äge®-treated trees had significantly less crown damage (percent leaf mining) compared with the untreated control ($t = 8.649$, $df = 27$, $P < 0.001$; mean $\pm$ SEM = 0.3 ± 0.1 and $4.9 \pm 0.8\%$, respectively) in 2011. Based on these results, we conclude that a single injection of TREE-äge® provides two field seasons of protection, and holds promise for control of *P. thomsoni* on individual birch trees in Interior Alaska.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank C.P. Dabney and S.R. McKelvey (Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service), C.J. Hayes (Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service) and S. Nickel (Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry) for technical assistance. In addition, the authors thank J. Fox (City of Fairbanks) for providing the study site, and J.J. Kruse (Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service) and D.L. Cox (Syngenta Crop Protection LLC) for their helpful insights. Syngenta Crop Protection LLC provided the TREE-äge® and loan for several injection systems from Arborjet Inc. This research was supported, in part, by a small grant from Syngenta Crop Protection LLC (FS Agreement No. 10-CO-11272164-003) to C.J.F., the Pacific Southwest Research Station, Forest Health Protection (both USDA Forest Service), and Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry, State of Alaska.

This publication reports research involving pesticides. It does not contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses discussed here have been registered. All uses of pesticides in the United States must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be recommended. This article was written and prepared by U.S. Government employees on official time and it is, therefore, in the public domain and not subject to copyright.

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

The *Journal of Entomological Science* (JES), published quarterly by the Georgia Entomological Society, invites submission of manuscripts reporting original research with insects and related arthropods or literature reviews offering foundations to innovative directions in entomological research.

Submission and Review. Three (3) copies of all manuscripts to be submitted for consideration for publication in JES should be sent to **Wayne Gardner, Editor, Journal of Entomological Science, 1109 Experiment Street, UGA Griffin Campus, Griffin, GA 30223-1797 USA**. Manuscripts judged as suitable for JES will be peer reviewed by scientists qualified to assess the scientific merit of the content. The Editor has the final decision in the acceptance, rejection, or revision of submitted/reviewed manuscripts.

Publication Charges. Authors will be charged US\$55 per published page. Pages containing figures will be subject to a US\$20 surcharge. Additional costs incurred in publishing color figures or photos must be passed onto the author. These costs vary with several factors, and every effort will be made to reduce these costs. Page charges will be reduced US\$15 per page for those articles with senior authors who are members in good standing with the Georgia Entomological Society. All fees are subject to change without notice.

Style and Format. Full guidelines for manuscripts can be found at www.ent.uga.edu/ges. Lines within text and tables of manuscripts should be double-spaced. A **title page** must contain the name, address, telephone number and email address of the corresponding author in the upper right. The running head, title, author(s), institutional affiliation where the research was conducted, and any footnotes should be left justified on the remainder of the title page. An **Abstract** followed by **key words** must follow on a separate page. The **text** should be divided into an introduction (no heading), Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion (together or separate), and an Acknowledgment(s) (optional). The **References Cited** must begin on a new page, and the **figure captions** and individual **tables** and **figures** must be placed on separate pages at the end of the text. All headings, subheadings, and table and figure captions should be in boldface font.

Figure Preparation. For review purposes, figures may be black and white or color with each figure on a separate page. However, figures in final revised typescripts must be in one of the following formats: TIFF, EPS, WMF, JPEG, or PowerPoint. (GIF formats are unacceptable as they produce poor quality images.) Although figures of any size can be submitted, those that fit the width of the printed page (118 mm) expedite the publication process. In choosing font size of labels and legends, insure that these are sufficiently large so that in reducing figures to fit the printed page (118 × 188 mm), lettering will not be difficult to read. Photographs should be submitted in TIFF format. Black and white photos should be in grayscale, not color. The CMYK color mode—not RGB—must be used for color photos.

Notes. JES also publishes Notes of original research and observations that may not be sufficiently replicated for acceptance as Scientific Papers but have merit in terms of sharing with the scientific community. These are designed to be short and rapid communications with none to few tables or figures. Notes do not contain an abstract or separate text sections (e.g., introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion). References are cited within the text by placement of authors' last names, date, and journal or book citation within parentheses.