ΝΟΤΕ

Red Imported Fire Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) Fail to Reduce Predator Abundance in Peanuts¹

James T. Vogt,² Phillip Mulder, Jr., Audrey Sheridan, Elizabeth M. Shoff and Russell E. Wright

Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, 127 Noble Research Center, Oklahoma State University, OK 74078 USA

J. Entomol. Sci. 37(2): 200-202 (April 2002)

An experiment was conducted to determine effects of the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* Buren, on abundance of other arthropods in a peanut agroecosystem. Effects of *S. invicta* on arthropod abundance and peanut quality are poorly understood. Previous work suggests that *S. invicta* prey on approximately 7 times more pests than beneficial arthropods in peanuts (Vogt et al. 2001, Environ. Entomol. 30: 123-128). Our study was undertaken to examine the effects of low and high *S. invicta* population densities on predator and herbivore populations in peanut fields.

The study site was a large (>80 ha) peanut field located in Bryan Co., OK. Prior to this experiment, S. invicta mound densities along the field edge were estimated to be >1 per 2.5 linear m of irrigation ditch, with scattered colonies occurring within the field. Ten plots (30.5 m \times 30.5 m) were established along the edge of the field near the irrigation ditch. Prior to planting, S. invicta populations were reduced in every other plot using Amdro® (0.73% hydramethylnon) (American Cyanamid Co., Wayne, NJ) outside the plots and Extinguish® (0.5% methoprene) (Wellmark International, Schaumburg, IL) within the plots,³ resulting in a randomized complete block design (blocked by location) replicated 5 times. Arthropods were sampled in the plots using pitfall traps (8 plot⁻¹, 24 h sample) and sweep samples (25 swings plot⁻¹) approximately every 2 wks. Ants were specifically sampled at one point in the study by placing 8 hotdog-baited vials in each plot for 30 min, then quickly sealing and collecting them. Arthropod abundance data were log10-transformed for analysis and subjected to repeated measures analysis of variance (Proc MIXED) (Littell et al. 1996, SAS System for Mixed Models, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) to test for fixed effects of time (date) and treatment, and random effects of block, block * treatment, and block * time (treatment).

Bait treatments dramatically reduced *S. invicta* population density in treated plots compared to untreated plots, as measured using pitfall trap data (Proc MIXED, F = 118.5; df = 1, 4; P = 0.0004) (Fig. 1A). Treatment accounted for 82% of the

¹Received 25 June 2001; accepted for publication 24 September 2001.

²USDA-ARS-BCMRRU, Box 5367, Mississippi State, MS 39762, and to whom all inquiries are to be addressed (email: jtvogt@bcmrru.ars.usda.gov).

³Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by Oklahoma State University or the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



Fig. 1. Relative abundance of *S. invicta* (A), spiders (various species) (B), and threecornered alfalfa hoppers (C) in high *S. invicta* density (untreated) and low *S. invicta* density (treated) peanut plots.

variability in the fixed effects model $\log_{10} S$. invicta = treatment + time + treatment * time; time accounted for 15%, and the interaction term accounted for 3%. Aside from Collembola (14,864 total) and S. invicta (13,070 total), spiders (Araneae) (various species) (1,641 total) were the most abundant arthropods present in pitfalls, followed by Coleoptera adults (1,431, of which 634 were carabids). Spiders were most abundant in sweep samples (403 total), followed by threecornered alfalfa hopper, Spissistilus festinus (Say) (Membracidae) (197 total) and various acridids (126 total). Spiders were not affected by abundance of S. invicta as measured by pitfall traps (Proc MIXED, P = 0.17) or sweep samples (Proc MIXED, P = 0.78) (sweep data illustrated in Fig. 1B). Adult carabids were not reduced in untreated plots as measured by pitfall traps (Proc MIXED, P = 0.24); only 3 carabid adults were captured by sweep net during the study. Other predators [e.g., tiger beetles (Cicindelidae) and ladybird beetles (Coccinellidae)] were captured in relatively low numbers in sweeps and pitfalls in both treated and untreated plots. The only other ant species collected during this study was Conomyrma insana (Buckley); it comprised only 0.27% of ants collected in baited vials within the plots (total ants = 5,485). Forty-two of 49 C. insana collected in pitfall traps were collected in treated plots, but low numbers made statistical analysis impracticable. Interestingly, more threecornered alfalfa hoppers (adults) were captured by sweep net in high S. invicta density plots than in low density plots (F = 13.0; df = 1, 27; P = 0.0018) (Fig. 1C). This relationship merits further investigation. While we are unaware of specific records of S. invicta tending this insect, other ant species tend threecornered alfalfa hopper nymphs (e.g., Nickerson et al. 1997, Florida Entomol. 60: 193-199; Spurgeon and Mueller, 1992, J. Entomol. Sci. 27: 325-336).

Solenopsis invicta do not appear to lower predator abundance in peanuts. In fact, many spiders, the most abundant predators other than ants in our study, are known to capture and feed on S. invicta (Nyffeler and Sterling 1994, Environ. Entomol. 23: 1294-1303). Some predators in this study [e.g., rove beetles (Staphylinidae) and big-eyed bugs (Lygaeidae)] were not collected in sufficient numbers for analysis. In collections of material foraged by S. invicta workers in an Oklahoma peanut field, "pest" insects outnumbered "beneficial" insects by approximately 87% (Vogt et al. 2001, Environ. Entomol. 30: 123-128). Researchers have concluded that predators in some other cropping systems are not negatively affected by presence of S. invicta [e.g., cotton (Reilly and Sterling 1983, Environ. Entomol. 12: 541-545)]; in pecan orchards, however, S. invicta prey on the eggs of at least one important predator, the green lacewing Chrysoperla rufilabris (Burmeister) (Tedders et al. 1990, Environ. Entomol. 19: 44-53). Additionally, Eubanks (2001, Biol. Control 21: 35-43) demonstrated that S. invicta abundance was negatively correlated with 22 of 24 natural enemy taxa in cotton, and 14 of 16 natural enemy taxa in soybean, suggesting that it may be an important intraguild predator in these systems. Additional work will be needed to fully understand possibly subtle interactions between S. invicta, predators, and pests in the peanut agroecosystem.

We thank Wayne Kelly for access to his farm, Jeff Thrasher for assistance with field sampling, Richard Grantham and Don Arnold for assistance with sorting and identification of specimens, Micky Eubanks and Scott Stewart for helpful reviews of an earlier version of the manuscript, and Debbie Boykin (USDA-ARS) for statistical advice. Approved for publication by the Director, Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station. This research was supported under project L02309.